

**REMARKS**

Applicant would like to thank Examiner Laekemariam for his helpful comments and suggestions during the telephone interviews of July 14 and July 17, 2009. The Examiner suggested that the claims would be allowable if the preambles for claims 1 and 15 were added back into the claims. The Examiner also suggested amending claims 14 and 33 to recite “a processor.”

With this amendment claims 1 and 15 are amended to include the preambles that were deleted with the Amendment filed January 9, 2009. In addition, claims 1 and 15 are further amended to remove the language “while in a packet transfer mode,” and the wherein clause in the last portion of the claims, since this clause is already recited in the claim preambles. Independent claim 31 is also amended in a manner similar to claims 1 and 15 for consistency.

Furthermore, claims 2 and 3 are amended for consistency with amended claim 1 in order to recite “the mobile terminal” instead of “a mobile terminal,” since a mobile terminal is already recited in claim 1 as amended. In addition, claim 18 is amended to correct informalities, by deleting a duplicate “the,” and add a period at the end of the claim.

Claims 14 and 33 are amended as suggested by the Examiner to recite a processor.

Accordingly, applicant respectfully submits that the application is in condition for allowance, and such action is earnestly solicited. The undersigned hereby authorizes the Commissioner to charge Deposit Account No. 23-0442 for any fee deficiency required to submit this response.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 17 July 2009

s/Keith R. Obert/  
Keith R. Obert  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 58,051

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER  
SLUYS & ADOLPHSON LLP  
Building Five, Bradford Green  
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224  
Monroe, CT 06468  
Telephone: (203) 261-1234  
Facsimile: (203) 261-5676  
USPTO Customer No. 004955